

Does Transparency Bring Cleanliness? Offshore Financial Secrecy Reform and Corruption Control

This project makes use of leaked and newly constructed datasets to understand the impact that offshore financial secrecy reforms are having on the use of shell companies for the hiding of developing country corruption proceeds.

Project Summary

There is a growing recognition that the enablers of much large-scale ('grand') corruption lie in transnational financial networks. Popularly known as tax havens, offshore secrecy jurisdictions (OSJs) facilitate the international movement and storage of funds acquired through corruption, tax evasion, smuggling, drug trading, and other criminal activities.



A growing international reform effort has sought to address these problems by targeting offshore financial secrecy. Available evidence suggests that these initiatives have generated effects in the form of greater transparency, but there is little evidence on outcomes. Has greater transparency actually reduced the use of OSJs for illicit purposes at the global level?

This project aims to fill this gap in understanding of outcome effectiveness by (a) compiling the first historical database of financial secrecy indicators by jurisdiction, and (b) using this database to examine the impact of changing offshore secrecy on the hiding and movement of corruption proceeds through shell companies, as revealed in leaked datasets (Panama and Paradise Papers).

Policy and Programming Implications

With offshore financial secrecy reforms in areas such as information exchange and beneficial ownership reporting a key focus on efforts to combat illicit financial activity, there is need for quantitative evidence on existing reform effectiveness that can help to guide ongoing policy design and implementation. This project will fulfill this need by providing a comprehensive and systematic assessment of how effective a range of offshore financial secrecy reforms have been at curbing the use of offshore shell companies for the hiding of the proceeds of corruption. Findings will be timely and important, providing critical guidance to still intensifying financial transparency reform efforts.

The Global Integrity Anti-Corruption Evidence (GI-ACE) research programme **supports 14 projects** around the world **generating actionable evidence** that policymakers, practitioners, and advocates can use to **design and implement more effective anti-corruption initiatives.**

Research Question

- What effects are initiatives to increase the transparency of offshore secrecy jurisdictions having on the use of these jurisdictions for the hiding of developing country corruption proceeds?

Methodology

The main challenges in our area of study are related to data availability, and our methodology is designed to directly address these challenges. Firstly, we are drawing on the expertise of the Tax Justice Network to construct the first historical database of changing financial secrecy indicators in key jurisdictions. Secondly, we are exploiting the window that new leaked datasets (the Panama Papers, Paradise Papers, and Offshore Leaks) provide into the use of shell companies by developing country elites, including for illicit purposes such as the movement and storage of the proceeds of corruption. Putting these together will allow us to conduct comprehensive statistical analyses of how the changing international financial secrecy regulatory and enforcement landscape has impacted the international landscape of illicit shell company use.

Research Team Members

Daniel Haberly, Senior Lecturer in Human Geography, University of Sussex School of Global Studies, Centre for the Study of Corruption and Centre for Global Political Economy

Alex Cobham, Chief Executive, Tax Justice Network, and Visiting Fellow, Kings College London

Valentina Gullo, Research Fellow, University of Sussex School of Global Studies and Centre for the Study of Corruption

*To learn more about this project, contact Principal Investigator Daniel Haberly
d.haberly@sussex.ac.uk*

GI-ACE is part of the ongoing Anti-Corruption Evidence (ACE) research programme funded with UK aid from the British people. GI-ACE complements the sister SOAS-ACE programme (<http://ace.soas.ac.uk>) and builds on an earlier phase of the programme that ran from 2015–2018.

